

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9 WESTERN DIVISION

10 NEIL PARIS SUGARMAN,) No. CV 07-912 TJH (FFM)
11 Plaintiff,) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
12 v.)
13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
14 Defendant.)
15 _____

16 The instant Order to Show Cause is directed toward plaintiff. As discussed
17 further below, the Order requires that, by March 28, 2008, plaintiff show cause
18 why the present matter should not be dismissed without prejudice for want of
19 prosecution and failure to follow the Court's orders.

20
21 **BACKGROUND**

22 On March 12, 2007, the Court issued an Order which informed petitioner
23 that:

24 During the pendency of the action, plaintiff must notify the Court
25 immediately if his address changes and must provide the Court with
26 the new address and its effective date. Any failure by plaintiff to
27 comply with a court order because plaintiff did not receive the order

28 ///

1 due to failure to inform the Court of his current address may result in
2 the action being dismissed for plaintiff's failure to prosecute. *See*
3 *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-1441 (9th Cir. 1988); Local Rule
4 41-6.

5 (Order Re Civil Rights, filed March 12, 2007, at 2). On December 26, 2007, the
6 Court entered a Minute Order and Notice Re: Filing of Defendant's Motion for
7 Summary Judgment. That order has been returned as undeliverable.

8 Plaintiff has not provided the Court with a notice of any further change of
9 address as of this date.

11 DISCUSSION

12 The Court has the inherent power to achieve the orderly and expeditious
13 disposition of cases by dismissing actions for failure to prosecute or to follow the
14 Court's orders. *Link v. Wabash Railroad*, 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S. Ct. 1386, 8
15 L. Ed. 2d 734 (1962). Accordingly, dismissal of this action without prejudice may
16 be appropriate on the ground of failure to prosecute and follow the orders of the
17 Court. *See* C.D. Cal., Local Rule 41-6 ("A party proceeding *pro se* shall keep the
18 Court and opposing parties apprised of such party's current address and telephone
19 number, if any. If mail directed by the Clerk to a *pro se* plaintiff's address is
20 returned undelivered by the Postal Service, and if, within fifteen (15) days of the
21 service date, such plaintiff fails to notify, in writing, the Court and opposing
22 parties of said plaintiff's current address, the Court may dismiss the action with or
23 without prejudice for want of prosecution.").

25 ORDER

26 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, no later than March 28, 2008, plaintiff
27 shall show cause, in writing, why this action should not now be dismissed for the
28 reason stated above, and shall also apprise the Court of his current address. If

1 plaintiff does not timely file a response to this Order to Show Cause, plaintiff is
2 forewarned that the action may be dismissed without prejudice for want of
3 prosecution and failure to follow the Court's orders.
4

5 DATED: February 27 , 2008
6

7 / s / FREDERICK F. MUMM
8 FREDERICK F. MUMM
9 United States Magistrate Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28